

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,281	11/28/2003	Shinichiro Hashimoto	82478-2800	1152	
21611	7590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER		
SNELL & WILMER LLP			ALEMU,	ALEMU, EPHREM	
600 ANTON I SUITE 1400	BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MESA	ГА MESA, CA 92626		2821		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/200	DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/724,281	HASHIMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ephrem Alemu	2821			
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication appoint Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEM MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	lugust 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33,36-70 and 73-79 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-33,36-70 and 73-79 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		-		
Applica	tion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	•		•		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	·		
Attachme	nt(s)			•		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 37 objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22, 23, 24, line 1, respectively, delete "panel" to be consistent with the independent claims.

In claims 13, 17 and 21, line 1, respectively, "the voltage waveform" lack antecedent basis.

In claim 37, line 3, insert --electrodes-- after "first and second" to clearly identify that the "first and second" are electrodes.

In claim 40, replace "first a substrate" with --a first substrate-- to correct minor typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

In claims 76, 78, 79, lines 7, respectively, the "first and second electrode", should be replaced with --first and second electrodes--.

Applicant cooperation is requested to correct further minor informalities that arises within the claims.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the applied voltage should be having predetermined duration or such as applying "a first voltage of predetermined duration to the third electrode and a second voltage of predetermined duration to the first and second electrodes". And is the voltage applied

Art Unit: 2821

to the first electrode and second electrode the same or different. In addition is the voltage being applied to the first electrode and the second electrode is the same or different?

4. Claims 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claims 1, 25, 39, 40, 41, 42 and 40, is the voltage of predetermined duration applied to the first second and third electrode the same or different voltages of predetermined potential.

In claim 33, a voltage to the third electrode and a voltage to the first and second electrode so as to generate a sustain discharge between the first and second electrodes in the sustain period is indefinite since the voltage being applied to the electrodes is not specified as being waveform with a predetermined duration. Therefore, a sustain discharge as claimed cannot be generated.

In claim 43, line 4, "a drive unit that drives the panel unit using the steps of the method is indefinite since the steps of the method has not been defined.

In claim 43, lines 5-8, the recitation "applying a write voltage and a sustain voltage of predetermined duration to the first and second electrodes; and changing potential of the voltage applied to the third electrode during duration of the voltage applied to the first electrode" is indefinite. It is not clear how or when "the write voltage and the sustain voltage of predetermined duration" is applied to the first and second electrodes; and what voltage potential applied to the third electrode is changed since "the voltage applied to the third of electrode" lack antecedent basis.

In claim 49, lines 5-8, the recitation "applying a write voltage and a sustain voltage of predetermined duration to the first and second electrodes; and changing potential of the voltage

Art Unit: 2821

applied to the third electrode during duration of the voltage applied to the first electrode" is indefinite. It is not clear how or when "the write voltage and the sustain voltage of predetermined duration" is applied to the first and second electrodes; and what voltage potential applied to the third electrode is changed since "the voltage applied to the third of electrode" lack antecedent basis.

In claim 65, lines 6-8, the recitation "applying a write voltage and a sustain voltage of predetermined duration to the first and second electrodes; and during the sustain discharge, changing potential of the voltage applied to the third electrode during duration of the voltage applied to the first electrode" is indefinite. It is not clear how or when "the write voltage and the sustain voltage" is applied to the first and second electrodes; and what voltage potential applied to the third electrode is changed since "the voltage applied to the third of electrode" lack antecedent basis. Is the "within duration of the voltage applied to the first electrode" referring to the write voltage or sustain voltage or both?

In claim 70, applying a voltage to the third electrode and a voltage to the first and second electrode, so as to generate a sustain discharge between the first and second electrodes is indefinite since the voltage being applied to the electrodes is not specified as being waveform with a predetermined duration. Therefore, a sustain discharge as claimed cannot be generated. Further, is the potential of the third electrode being changed during the write period or sustain period based on the detected brightness average and temperature?

In claims 76-79, respectively, is applying a voltage to the third electrode and a voltage to the first and second electrode, so as to generate a sustain discharge between the first and second electrodes; and changing potential of the voltage applied third electrode during the duration of

Art Unit: 2821

the voltage applied to the first electrode is indefinite since the voltage being applied to the third electrode has not been specified as being waveform with a predetermined duration. And when or what period is the change of potential applied to the third electrode?

Claims 2-6, 8-24, 26-32, 36-38,44-48,50-64, 66-69, 73-75 are rejected as being dependent over rejected claims 1, 7, 25, 33, 43, 49, 65 and 70.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see pages 22-25, filed 4/18/2006, with respect to claims 1-6, 8-10, 13-16, 25-33, 36-48, 50, 53-56, 65-70 and 73-79 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of 1-6, 8-10, 13-16, 25-33, 36-48, 50, 53-56, 65-70 and 73-79 have been withdrawn.

Remarks

6. Regarding claims 1-33, 36-70 and 73-79, the merits of indication of allowable subject matter or applied prior art will not be provided in this office action based on the 112 second paragraph as indicated above in paragraphs 3 and 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2821

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EA 7-12-06

TUYET VO PRIMARY EXAMINER